FILED

AUG 23 2000

CLERK

(9)

No.99-1935

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1999

COLUMBUS-AMERICA DISCOVERY GROUP, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

ATLANTIC MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,
Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR CERTIORARI

RICHARD T. ROBOL
Counsel of Record
ROETZEL & ANDRESS
National City Center
155 East Broad Street,
12th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614/463-9770
Attorneys for Petitioner

3 PP

No.99-1935

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1999

COLUMBUS-AMERICA DISCOVERY GROUP, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

ATLANTIC MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,
Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR CERTIORARI

Since the date of the last filing in this case, three additional courts of appeals have issued decisions on the admiralty law standard for abandonment. Pursuant to Rule 15.8, Petitioner Columbus-America respectfully notes the following cases:

- *Sea Hunt, Inc. v. Unidentified Shipwrecked Vessel or Vessels,* 2000 WL 1015814 (4th Cir. July 21, 2000), Slip Op. at 15 (admiralty law standard for abandonment requires proof of "an express abandonment by 'clear and

convincing evidence,'" *citing Columbus-America*, 974 F.2d at 464).

- *International Aircraft Recovery, L.L.C. v. Unidentified Wrecked and Abandoned,* 2000 WL 977396, Slip Op. at 6 (11th Cir. July 17, 2000) (admiralty law presumes non-abandonment).

- *Adams et al. v. Unione Mediterranea, et al.,* 2000 WL 1041233, Slip Op. at 12 (5th Cir. Aug. 14, 2000) (admiralty law standard for abandonment of merchant vessels may require proof of express abandonment) (*semble*).[1]

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD T. ROBOL
Counsel of Record
ROETZEL & ANDRESS
National City Center, 12th Floor
155 East Broad Street,
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614/463-9770
Attorneys for Petitioner

---

[1] *See also Yukon Recovery v. Ocean Mar, cert. pending* No. 99-1958.